

Extension of Fuzzy h-ideals in Γ -hemirings

Sujit Kumar Sardar¹ and Debabrata Mandal²

Department of Mathematics

Jadavpur University, Kolkata

E-mail: sksardarjumath@gmail.com¹, dmandaljumath@gmail.com²

Abstract

In this paper the concept of the extension of fuzzy h-ideals in one-sided Γ -hemiring is introduced and some of its properties are investigated. Specially we have studied the extension of prime fuzzy h-ideals in Γ -hemirings and gave its characterization.

2010 AMS Classification: 03E72

Keywords: Γ -hemiring, h-ideal, h-bi-ideal, h-quasi-ideal, cartesian product, prime.

1 Introduction

There are many concepts of universal algebras generalizing an associative ring $(R, +, \cdot)$. Some of them have been found to be very useful for solving problems in different areas of applied mathematics and information sciences. Semiring is one such concept. In 1934 Vandiver [15] introduced this concept. The concept of a semiring generalizes that of a ring, allowing the additive structure to be only a semigroup instead of a group. The set of nonnegative integers Z_0^+ with usual addition and multiplication provides a natural example of a semiring.

But the situations for the set of all nonpositive integers Z_0^- is different. They do not form semirings with the above operations because multiplication in the above sense is no longer a binary compositions.

To solve this problem, in 1995 M.M.K. Rao[9] introduced a new kind of algebraic structure what is known as Γ -semiring which is a generalization of semiring as well as of Γ -ring. To make Γ -semiring more effective, Dutta and Sardar introduced the notion of operator semirings [1] of a Γ -semiring. By using the interplay between the operator semirings and the Γ -semiring they enriched the theory of Γ -semirings.

Ideals of semiring play a central role in the structure theory and useful for many purposes. However they do not in general coincide with the usual ring ideals(e.g. an ideal of a semiring need not be the kernel of a semiring morphism) and for this reason, their use is somewhat limited in trying to obtain analogues of ring theorems for semiring. To solve this problem, Henriksen[3], Iizuka[4] and LaTorre[7] investigated a more restricted class of ideals which are called h-ideals and k-ideals. Jun et al[5] and Zhan et al[18] studied these ideals in hemirings in terms of fuzzy subsets. We ([11],[12]) extended these concepts to the theory of Γ -hemirings.

Motivated by Xie[16], as a continuation of this we study the concept of the extension of fuzzy h-ideals in Γ -hemirings in [14]. In that paper, we take the Γ -hemiring to be both-sided i.e. when S is a Γ -hemiring, Γ is also a S -hemiring. Here we take the Γ -hemiring to be one-sided and study all those properties of [14].

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let S and Γ be two additive commutative semigroups with zero. Then S is called a Γ -hemiring if there exists a mapping

$S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow S$ ($(a, \alpha, b) \mapsto a\alpha b$) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$,
- (ii) $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$,
- (iii) $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$,
- (iv) $a\alpha(b\beta c) = (a\alpha b)\beta c$.
- (v) $0_S\alpha a = 0_S = a\alpha 0_S$,
- (vi) $a0_\Gamma b = 0_S = b0_\Gamma a$

for all $a, b, c \in S$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

For simplification we write 0 instead of 0_S and 0_Γ .

A Γ -hemiring S is called commutative if $a\alpha b = b\alpha a$ for all $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Throughout this paper S denotes a Γ -hemiring with zero.

Definition 2.2. A left ideal A of a Γ -hemiring S is called a left h -ideal if for any $x, z \in S$ and $a, b \in A$, $x + a + z = b + z \Rightarrow x \in A$.

A right h -ideal is defined analogously.

Definition 2.3. Let S be a Γ -hemiring. A proper h -ideal I of S is said to be prime if for any two h -ideals H and K of S , $HTK \subseteq I$ implies that either $H \subseteq I$ or $K \subseteq I$.

Theorem 2.4. [12] If I is an h -ideal of a Γ -hemiring S then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) I is a prime h -ideal of S .
- (ii) If $a\Gamma S\Gamma b \subseteq I$ then either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$ where $a, b \in S$.

Definition 2.5. [13] Let μ and θ be two fuzzy sets of a Γ -hemiring S . Define a generalized h -product of μ and θ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu o_h \theta(x) &= \sup_i [\min\{\mu(a_i), \mu(c_i), \theta(b_i), \theta(d_i)\}] \\ &\quad x + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i + z = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \delta_i d_i + z \\ &= 0, \text{ if } x \text{ cannot be expressed as above} \end{aligned}$$

where $x, z, a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in S$ and $\gamma_i, \delta_i \in \Gamma$, for $i=1, \dots, n$.

Definition 2.6. Let μ be the non empty fuzzy subset of a Γ -hemiring S (i.e. $\mu(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in S$). Then μ is called a fuzzy left h -ideal [fuzzy right h -ideal] of S if

- (i) $\mu(x + y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ and
- (ii) $\mu(x\gamma y) \geq \mu(y)$ [resp. $\mu(x\gamma y) \geq \mu(x)$] for all $x, y \in S, \gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (iii) For all $x, a, b, z \in S$, $x + a + z = b + z$ implies $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(a), \mu(b)\}$.

A fuzzy ideal of a Γ -hemiring S is a non empty fuzzy subset of S which is a fuzzy left ideal as well as a fuzzy right ideal of S .

A fuzzy h -ideal μ of S is called a fuzzy h -bi-ideal, fuzzy h -interior ideal if for all $x, y, z, a, b \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, $\mu(x\alpha y\beta z) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(z)\}$, $\mu(x\alpha y\beta z) \geq \mu(y)$, respectively.

A fuzzy subset μ of a Γ -hemiring S is called fuzzy h -quasi-ideal if μ satisfies (i) and (iii) along with the condition $(\mu o_h \chi_S) \cap (\chi_S o_h \mu) \subseteq \mu$, where χ_S is the characteristic function of S .

Now we recall following definitions and result from [8] for subsequent use.

Definition 2.7. Let μ and θ be two fuzzy sets of a Γ -hemiring S . Define h -product of μ and θ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \Gamma_h \theta(x) &= \sup_{x + a_1 \gamma b_1 + z = a_2 \delta b_2 + z} [\min\{\mu(a_1), \mu(a_2), \theta(b_1), \theta(b_2)\}] \\ &= 0, \text{ if } x \text{ cannot be expressed as } x + a_1 \gamma b_1 + z = a_2 \delta b_2 + z \end{aligned}$$

for $x, z, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in S$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$.

Definition 2.8. A fuzzy h-ideal μ of a Γ -hemiring S is said to be prime(semiprime) if μ is not a constant function and for any two fuzzy h-ideals σ and θ of S , $\sigma\Gamma_h\theta \subseteq \mu$ implies that either $\sigma \subseteq \mu$ or $\theta \subseteq \mu$ (resp. $\theta\Gamma_h\theta \subseteq \mu$ implies $\theta \subseteq \mu$).

Theorem 2.9. Let μ be a fuzzy h-ideal of S . Then μ is a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S if and only if the following conditions hold

- (i) $\mu(0) = 1$,
- (ii) $\text{Im } \mu = \{1, t\}$, $t \in [0, 1)$,
- (iii) $\mu_0 = \{x \in S : \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$ is a prime h-ideal of S .

3 Fuzzy h-ideal extension in Γ -hemirings

Definition 3.1. Let μ be a fuzzy subset of S and $x \in S$. Then the fuzzy subset $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ of S , defined by

$$\langle x, \mu \rangle(y) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y)$$

for all $y \in S$, is called the extension of μ by x .

Theorem 3.2. Let μ is a fuzzy right h-ideal of S and $x \in S$. Then the extension $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is a fuzzy right h-ideal of S .

Proof. Let $p, q, a, b, z \in S$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, \mu \rangle(p + q) &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma(p + q)) \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p + x\alpha s\gamma q) \\ &\geq \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \min\{\mu(x\alpha s\gamma p), \mu(x\alpha s\gamma q)\} \\ &= \min\left\{ \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p), \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma q) \right\} \\ &= \min\{\langle x, \mu \rangle(p), \langle x, \mu \rangle(q)\} \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\langle x, \mu \rangle(p\beta q) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p\beta q) \geq \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p) = \langle x, \mu \rangle(p)$$

Now let $p+a+z=b+z$. So, $x\alpha s\gamma p + x\alpha s\gamma a + x\alpha s\gamma z = x\alpha s\gamma b + x\alpha s\gamma z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, \mu \rangle(p) &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p) \\ &\geq \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \min\{\mu(x\alpha s\gamma a), \mu(x\alpha s\gamma b)\} \\ &= \min\left\{ \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma a), \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma b) \right\} \\ &= \min\{\langle x, \mu \rangle(a), \langle x, \mu \rangle(b)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is a fuzzy right h-ideal of S . $\square$

Note. If μ is a fuzzy h-ideal of a commutative Γ -hemiring S and $x \in S$, then the extension $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is a fuzzy h-ideal of S .

Proposition 3.3. If $\mu_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ be an arbitrary collection of fuzzy h-ideal of S , then $\langle x, \bigcap_i \mu_i \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal of S .

Definition 3.4. [2] Let R, S be Γ -hemirings and $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a function. Then f is said to be a Γ -homomorphism if

- (i) $f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b)$,
- (ii) $f(a\alpha b) = f(a)\alpha f(b)$ for $a, b \in R$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,
- (iii) $f(0_R) = 0_S$ where 0_R and 0_S are the zeroes of R and S respectively.

Definition 3.5. [10] Let f be a function from a set X to a set Y ; μ be a fuzzy subset of X and σ be a fuzzy subset of Y .

Then image of μ under f , denoted by $f(\mu)$, is a fuzzy subset of Y defined by

$$f(\mu)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The pre-image of σ under f , symbolized by $f^{-1}(\sigma)$, is a fuzzy subset of X defined by $f^{-1}(\sigma)(x) = \sigma(f(x)) \forall x \in X$.

Proposition 3.6. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of Γ -hemirings.

- (i) If ϕ is a fuzzy right h -ideal of S , then $\langle z, f^{-1}(\phi) \rangle$ is a fuzzy right h -ideal of R , for any $z \in R$.
- (ii) If f is surjective morphism and μ is a fuzzy right h -ideal of R , then $\langle z, f(\mu) \rangle$ is a fuzzy right h -ideal of S , for any $z \in S$.

Proof. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of Γ -hemirings.

- (i) Let ϕ be a fuzzy right h -ideal of S . Then by Proposition 17 of [11], we have $f^{-1}(\phi)$ is a fuzzy right h -ideal of R . Now $\langle z, f^{-1}(\phi) \rangle$ is an extension of $f^{-1}(\phi)$ in R . So, applying Theorem 3.2 we obtain that $\langle z, f^{-1}(\phi) \rangle$ is a fuzzy right h -ideal of R .
- (ii) Since f is surjective morphism and μ is a fuzzy right h -ideal of R , by Proposition 17 of [11], we have $f(\mu)$ is a fuzzy right h -ideal of S . Hence with the help of Theorem 3.2 we get that $\langle z, f(\mu) \rangle$ is a fuzzy right h -ideal of S , for any $z \in S$. $\square$

Proposition 3.7. Let μ be a fuzzy h -ideal of S and $x \in S$. Then the following conditions hold

- (i) $\mu \subseteq \langle x, \mu \rangle$,
- (ii) $\langle (x\gamma)^{n-1}x, \mu \rangle \subseteq \langle (x\gamma)^n x, \mu \rangle$ where $\gamma \in \Gamma$,
- (iii) If $\mu(x) > 0$ then $\text{supp } \langle x, \mu \rangle = S$ where $\text{supp } \mu$ is defined by $\text{supp } \mu = \{s \in S : \mu(s) > 0\}$.

Proof. (i) Let $y \in S$. Now $\langle x, \mu \rangle(y) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) \geq \mu(y)$. Thus $\mu \subseteq \langle x, \mu \rangle$.

(ii) Let n be a positive integer and $y \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (x\gamma)^n x, \mu \rangle(y) &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu((x\gamma)^n x\alpha s\beta y) \\ &\geq \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu((x\gamma)(x\gamma)^{n-1}x\alpha s\beta y) \\ &\geq \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu((x\gamma)^{n-1}x\alpha s\beta y) \\ &= \langle (x\gamma)^{n-1}x, \mu \rangle(y). \end{aligned}$$

So, $\langle (x\gamma)^{n-1}x, \mu \rangle \subseteq \langle (x\gamma)^n x, \mu \rangle$.

(iii) Let $\mu(x) > 0$ and $y \in S$. Then $\langle x, \mu \rangle(y) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) \geq \mu(x)$. Thus $y \in \text{supp } \langle x, \mu \rangle$ and consequently, $S \subseteq \text{supp } \langle x, \mu \rangle$. Hence $S = \text{supp } \langle x, \mu \rangle$. $\square$

Proposition 3.8. *If μ is a fuzzy h-bi-ideal of S then its extension by $x \in S$, $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-bi-ideal of S , provided S is commutative.*

Proof. Let μ is a fuzzy h-bi-ideal of S and its extension by $x \in S$ is $\langle x, \mu \rangle$. Since μ be a fuzzy h-bi-ideal it is sufficient to prove $\langle x, \mu \rangle (p\alpha q\beta r) \geq \min\{\langle x, \mu \rangle (p), \langle x, \mu \rangle (r)\}$ for all $p, q, r \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Suppose $p, q, r \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Now

$$\langle x, \mu \rangle (p\alpha q\beta r) = \inf_{\substack{\eta, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\eta s\gamma p\alpha q\beta r) \geq \inf_{\substack{\eta, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\eta s\gamma p) = \langle x, \mu \rangle (p)$$

$$\text{Also, } \langle x, \mu \rangle (p\alpha q\beta r) = \inf_{\substack{\eta, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\eta s\gamma p\alpha q\beta r) \geq \inf_{\substack{\eta, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\eta s\gamma r) = \langle x, \mu \rangle (r) \text{ (since } S \text{ is}$$

commutative).

Therefore $\langle x, \mu \rangle (p\alpha q\beta r) \geq \min\{\langle x, \mu \rangle (p), \langle x, \mu \rangle (r)\}$. So, $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is a fuzzy h-bi-ideal of S . $\square$

Proposition 3.9. *If μ is a fuzzy h-interior-ideal of S then its extension by $x \in S$, $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-interior-ideal of S , provided S is commutative.*

Proof. Let μ be a fuzzy h-interior-ideal of S and its extension by $x \in S$ is $\langle x, \mu \rangle$. Then it is sufficient to prove $\langle x, \mu \rangle (p\alpha q\beta r) \geq \langle x, \mu \rangle (q)$ for all $p, q, r \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Suppose $p, q, r \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, \mu \rangle (p\alpha q\beta r) &= \inf_{\substack{\eta, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\eta s\gamma p\alpha q\beta r) = \inf_{\substack{\eta, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\eta s\gamma q\alpha p\beta r) \\ &\geq \inf_{\substack{\eta, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\eta s\gamma q) = \langle x, \mu \rangle (q) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is a fuzzy h-interior-ideal of S . $\square$

Proposition 3.10. *If μ is a fuzzy h-quasi-ideal of S then its extension by $x \in S$, $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-quasi-ideal of S .*

Proof. Let μ be a fuzzy h-quasi ideal of S and its extension by $x \in S$ is $\langle x, \mu \rangle$. Suppose $p, a, b, z \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, (\mu o_h \chi_S) \cap (\chi_S o_h \mu) \rangle (p) &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} ((\mu o_h \chi_S) \cap (\chi_S o_h \mu))(x\alpha s\gamma p) \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \min\{(\mu o_h \chi_S)(x\alpha s\gamma p), (\chi_S o_h \mu)(x\alpha s\gamma p)\} \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \min\{\mu(x\alpha s\gamma p), \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p)\} \\ &\quad \text{since } \mu \text{ is a fuzzy h-quasi-ideal} \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p) = \langle x, \mu \rangle (p) \end{aligned}$$

Also from Theorem 3.2 we have $\langle x, \mu \rangle (p+q) \geq \min\{\mu(p), \mu(q)\}$ and $p+a+z=q+z$ implies $\langle x, \mu \rangle (p) \geq \min\{\langle x, \mu \rangle (a), \langle x, \mu \rangle (b)\}$.

Hence $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is a fuzzy h-quasi ideal of S . $\square$

Remark 3.11. *We know that if μ is fuzzy h-quasi-ideal of a Γ -hemiring S it is also a fuzzy h-bi-ideal. In previous proposition 3.10 we show that its extension by any element $x \in S$, $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is a fuzzy h-quasi-ideal also. Now it is a routine verification to show that $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-bi-ideal of S , provided S is commutative.*

Proposition 3.12. *Let μ be a fuzzy h-ideal of S . Then for any $x \in S$, $\langle x, \mu^+ \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal of S , where μ^+ is defined by $\mu^+(x) = \mu(x) - \mu(0) + 1$.*

Proof. Since μ is a fuzzy h-ideal of S , by Proposition 25 of [11] we have μ^+ is also a fuzzy h-ideal and hence by using Theorem 3.2 we deduce that $\langle x, \mu^+ \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal of S . $\square$

Proposition 3.13. *If μ is a fuzzy h-ideal of S , then for any $x \in S$, $\langle x, \mu_{\beta, \alpha} \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal of S , where $\mu_{\beta, \alpha}(y) = \beta \cdot \mu(y) + \alpha$, $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1 - \sup\{\mu(y) : y \in S\}]$.*

Proof. Since μ is a fuzzy h-ideal of S , by Theorem 20 of [11] we have $\mu_{\beta, \alpha}$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal and hence by using Theorem 3.2 we deduce that $\langle x, \mu_{\beta, \alpha} \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal of S . $\square$

Proposition 3.14. *If μ and ν are any two fuzzy h-ideal of S , then for any $x \in S$, $\langle x, \mu \times \nu \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal of S , where $(\mu \times \nu)(a, b) = \min\{\mu(a), \mu(b)\}$, $a, b \in S$.*

Proof. Since μ and ν be any two fuzzy h-ideal of S , by Theorem 35 of [11] we have $\mu \times \nu$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal and hence by using Theorem 3.2 we deduce that $\langle x, \mu \times \nu \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal of S . $\square$

Theorem 3.15. *Let μ, ν be any two fuzzy h-ideal of S and $x, y \in S$. Then $\langle x, \mu \rangle \times \langle y, \nu \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal of S .*

Proof. Since μ and ν be any two fuzzy h-ideal of S , by Theorem 3.2 we have $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ and $\langle y, \nu \rangle$ are fuzzy h-ideals of S . Hence by using Theorem 35 of [11] we deduce that $\langle x, \mu \rangle \times \langle y, \nu \rangle$ is also a fuzzy h-ideal of S . $\square$

Proposition 3.16. *Let μ be a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S . Then for all $x, y \in S$,*

$$\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = \max[\mu(x), \mu(y)].$$

Conversely, let μ be a fuzzy h-ideal of S such that $\text{Im } \mu = \{1, t\}, t \in [0, 1)$.

If $\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = \max[\mu(x), \mu(y)]$ for all $x, y \in S$ then μ is a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S .

Proof. Let μ be a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S . Then by Theorem 2.9 we have,

$$\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = 1 \text{ or } t.$$

Case I. Let $\max[\mu(x), \mu(y)] = 1$. Then suppose that $\mu(x) = 1$. Consequently, $x \in \mu_0$. As μ_0 is an h-ideal of S , $x\alpha s\gamma y \in \mu_0$ for all $s \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus

$$\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = 1 = \max[\mu(x), \mu(y)].$$

Case II. Let $\max[\mu(x), \mu(y)] = t$. Then $\mu(x) = \mu(y) = t$. This implies that $x, y \notin \mu_0$. Since μ_0 is a prime h-ideal of S , so $x\Gamma S\Gamma y \not\subseteq \mu_0$. Thus there exists some $\alpha_1, \gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ and $s_1 \in S$ such that $x\alpha_1 s_1 \gamma_1 y \notin \mu_0$, i.e., $\mu(x\alpha_1 s_1 \gamma_1 y) \neq 1$. Therefore $\mu(x\alpha_1 s_1 \gamma_1 y) = t$. Thus

$$\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = t = \max[\mu(x), \mu(y)].$$

For the converse part, let $x, y \in S$ such that $x\Gamma S\Gamma y \subseteq \mu_0$. Then $x\alpha s\gamma y \in \mu_0$ for all $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. So $\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = 1 = \max[\mu(x), \mu(y)]$. This implies that either $\mu(x) = 1$ or $\mu(y) = 1$, i.e., either $x \in \mu_0$ or $y \in \mu_0$. Consequently, μ_0 is a prime h-ideal of S by Theorem 2.4. Hence by Theorem 2.9, μ is a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S . $\square$

Proposition 3.17. *If μ is a prime(semiprime) fuzzy h-ideal of S then its extension by $x \in S$, $\langle x, \mu \rangle$ is also a prime(semiprime) fuzzy h-ideal of S .*

Proof. Let μ be a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S and its extension by $x \in S$ is $\langle x, \mu \rangle$. Let $p, q, s_1 \in S$ and $\delta, \eta \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\substack{\delta, \eta \in \Gamma \\ s_1 \in S}} \langle x, \mu \rangle(p\delta s_1\eta q) &= \inf_{\substack{\delta, \eta \in \Gamma \\ s_1 \in S}} \inf_{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p\delta s_1\eta q) \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \{ \max(\mu(x\alpha s\gamma p), \mu(q)) \} \\ &= \max\{ \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p), \mu(q) \} \\ &= \max\{ \mu(x), \mu(p), \mu(q) \} \\ &= \max\{ \max\{ \mu(x), \mu(p) \}, \max\{ \mu(x), \mu(q) \} \} \\ &= \max\{ \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma p), \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma q) \} \\ &= \max\{ \langle x, \mu \rangle(p), \langle x, \mu \rangle(q) \} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove the result for semiprime fuzzy h-ideal. $\square$

Proposition 3.18. *Let μ be a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S and $x \in S$. Then $\langle x, \mu \rangle(y) = \inf_{\substack{s_1 \in S \\ \eta, \delta \in \Gamma}} [\langle x\eta s_1\delta x, \mu \rangle(y)]$ for all $y \in S$.*

Proof. Let $y \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\substack{s_1 \in S \\ \eta, \delta \in \Gamma}} [\langle x\eta s_1\delta x, \mu \rangle(y)] &= \inf_{\substack{s_1 \in S \\ \eta, \delta \in \Gamma}} \inf_{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma} \mu(x\eta s_1\delta x\alpha s\gamma y) \\ &= \inf_{\substack{s_1 \in S \\ \eta, \delta \in \Gamma}} \max[\mu(x\eta s_1\delta x), \mu(y)] \\ &= \max[\inf_{\substack{s_1 \in S \\ \eta, \delta \in \Gamma}} \mu(x\eta s_1\delta x), \mu(y)] \\ &= \max[\max[\mu(x), \mu(x)], \mu(y)] = \max[\mu(x), \mu(y)] \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = \langle x, \mu \rangle(y) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Definition 3.19. *Let $A \subseteq S$ and $x \in S$. Then we define $\langle x, A \rangle$ as $\langle x, A \rangle = \{y \in S : x\Gamma S\Gamma y \subseteq A\}$.*

Proposition 3.20. *Let A be a non empty subset of S . Then $\langle x, \lambda_A \rangle = \lambda_{\langle x, A \rangle}$ for every $x \in S$ where λ_A denotes the characteristic function of A .*

Proof. Let $y \in S$. Then $\langle x, \lambda_A \rangle(y) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \lambda_A(x\alpha s\gamma y) = 1$ or 0 .

Case I. If $\langle x, \lambda_A \rangle(y) = 1$ then $\lambda_A(x\alpha s\gamma y) = 1$ for all $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. Thus $x\alpha s\gamma y \in A$ for all $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$, i.e., $x\Gamma S\Gamma y \subseteq A$. i.e., $y \in \langle x, A \rangle$. Consequently $\lambda_{\langle x, A \rangle}(y) = 1$. So, $\langle x, \lambda_A \rangle = \lambda_{\langle x, A \rangle}$.

Case II. If $\langle x, \lambda_A \rangle(y) = 0$ then $\lambda_A(x\alpha s\gamma y) = 0$ for some $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. So $x\alpha s\gamma y \notin A$ for some $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. Therefore $x\Gamma S\Gamma y \not\subseteq A$ which implies that $y \notin \langle x, A \rangle$. Thus $\lambda_{\langle x, A \rangle}(y) = 0$. Hence $\langle x, \lambda_A \rangle = \lambda_{\langle x, A \rangle}$. This proves the proposition. $\square$

Theorem 3.21. Let μ be a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S and $x \in S$ be such that $x \notin \mu_0$. Then $\langle x, \mu \rangle = \mu$.

Conversely, let μ be a fuzzy h-ideal of S such that $\text{Im } \mu = \{1, t\}, t \in [0, 1)$. If $\langle x, \mu \rangle = \mu$ for those $x \in S$ for which $\mu(x) = \alpha$, then μ is a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S .

Proof. Let μ be a fuzzy prime h-ideal of S . Then by Theorem 2.9,

- (i) $\mu(0) = 1$, (ii) $\text{Im } \mu = \{1, t\}$ with $t \in [0, 1)$,
- (iii) $\mu_0 = \{x \in S : \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$ is a prime h-ideal of S .

Case I. If $y \in \mu_0$ then $x\alpha s\gamma y \in \mu_0$ for all $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. So $\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = 1 = \mu(y)$.

Therefore $\langle x, \mu \rangle = \mu$.

Case II. Let $y \notin \mu_0$. Since μ_0 is a prime h-ideal of S and $x, y \notin \mu_0$, so $x\Gamma S\Gamma y \not\subseteq \mu_0$. Therefore there exist some $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$ such that $x\alpha s\gamma y \notin \mu_0$. Therefore $\mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = t$. Thus $\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = t = \mu(y)$ and hence $\langle x, \mu \rangle = \mu$. Consequently, $\langle x, \mu \rangle = \mu$ for all $x \in \mu_0$.

Conversely, let $x, y \in S$.

Case I. Let $\mu(x) = t$. Then $\max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} = \mu(y)$. Now $\mu(y) = \langle x, \mu \rangle(y)$ implies that $\max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} = \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y)$.

Case II. Let $\mu(x) = 1$. Then $x \in \mu_0$. So $x\alpha s\gamma y \in \mu_0$ for all $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. Thus $\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = 1 = \mu(x) = \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$.

Thus $\inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Hence by the converse part of Theorem 3.16, μ is a prime h-ideal of S . $\square$

Theorem 3.22. Let μ be a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S and $x \in S$ be such that $x \in \mu_0$. Then $\langle x, \mu \rangle = \mathbf{1}_S$.

Proof. Let μ be a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S and $y \in S$. Then $x\gamma y \in \mu_0$ for all $s \in S$ and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ as $x \in \mu_0$.

So $\langle x, \mu \rangle(y) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = 1 = \mathbf{1}_S(y)$ for all $y \in S$. Hence $\langle x, \mu \rangle = \mathbf{1}_S$. $\square$

Corollary 3.23. Let I be an h-ideal of S . If I is prime h-ideal of S then for $x \in S$, $\langle x, \lambda_I \rangle = \lambda_I$ where $x \notin I$.

Proof. Let I be a prime h-ideal of S . Then λ_I is a prime fuzzy h-ideal of S . Now $x \notin I$ implies that $x \notin (\lambda_I)_0$. Hence by Theorem 3.21, $\langle x, \lambda_I \rangle = \lambda_I$. $\square$

Theorem 3.24. Let S be a commutative Γ -hemiring and μ be a fuzzy subset of S such that $\langle x, \mu \rangle = \mu$ for all $x \in S$. Then μ is constant.

Proof. Let $x, y \in S$. Then $\mu(y) = \langle x, \mu \rangle(y) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(x\alpha s\gamma y) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma \\ s \in S}} \mu(y\alpha s\gamma x)$,
 (since S is a commutative Γ -hemiring) $= \langle y, \mu \rangle(x) = \mu(x)$.
 Therefore $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Hence μ is constant. $\square$

References

- [1] T.K. Dutta and S.K. Sardar, On the Operator Semirings of a Γ -semiring, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 26 (2002) 203-213.
- [2] T.K. Dutta, S.K. Sardar: On Matrix Γ -semirings; Far East J.Math.Sci.(FJMS)7(1)(2002)17-31
- [3] M.Henriksen, Ideals in semirings with commutative addition, Am.Math.Soc.Notices 6(1958)321-
- [4] K.Iizuka, On the Jacobson radical of semiring, Tohoku Math.J.11(2) (1959)409-421
- [5] Y.B.Jun, M.A.Öztürk, S.Z.Song, On Fuzzy h-ideals in hemiring, Information sciences 162(2004)211-226.
- [6] Y.B.Jun, C.Y.Lee, Fuzzy Γ -rings, Pusom Kyongnam Math, J.8(2)(1992)63-170
- [7] D.R.La Torre, On h-ideals and k-ideals in hemirings, Publ. Math. Debrecen 12(1965)219-226.
- [8] X.Ma, J.Zhan, Fuzzy h-ideals in h-hemiregular and h-simple Γ -hemirings, Neural Comput and Applic(2010)19:477-485
- [9] M.M.Rao, Γ -semirings-1, Southeast Asian Bull. of Math., 19(1995)49-54
- [10] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35(1971) 512-517
- [11] S.K.Sardar and D.Mandal, Fuzzy h-ideal in Γ -hemiring, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math(2009),vol56,no.3,439-450
- [12] S.K.Sardar and D.Mandal, Prime(semiprime)fuzzy h-ideal in Γ -hemiring, Int. J. Comput. Math.Sci, Accepted
- [13] S.K.Sardar and D.Mandal, On Fuzzy h-ideals in h-regular Γ -hemiring and h-duo Γ -hemiring, General Mathematics Notes, Accepted
- [14] S.K.Sardar and D.Mandal, Fuzzy h-ideals extension in Γ -hemiring, Anals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, Accepted
- [15] Vandiver, H. S. : Note on a simple type of Algebra in which the cancellation law of addition does not hold ; Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **40**(1934), 914-920.
- [16] Xiang-Yun Xie, Fuzzy ideal extensions of semigroups, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, vol-27,no.-2(2001), 125-138
- [17] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control 8(1965)338-353.
- [18] J.Zhan, and W.A.Dudek, Fuzzy h-ideals of hemirings, Information sciences 177(2007)876-886.